

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/741,208	HENZERLING, DAVID P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel A. Hess.

(2) Kevin T. LeMond.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection applied to claim 16

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that as presently recited, the limitations of claim 16 could be met by a device such as a laptop computer that operates wirelessly using a wireless network that it can pick up, and that is running some of the well-known peer-to-peer file sharing software on it.

Such a laptop could easily be carried in a car and thus meet the limitations of claim 16. The Examiner suggested that a limitation be added (such as is found in the other independent claims) that a transceiver is used that is actually associated with the automobile which receives this music file, clarifying that the arrangement cannot simply consist of a laptop or other portable device that is carried in the vehicle.

The Applicant agreed to this amendment, which is made herewith..